TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:

Maritime Communications/Land Mobile, LLC et al

For commission consent to assignment of various authorizations in wireless radio service

EB Docket No. 11-71 0.25

ORIGINAL

DATE OF HEARING: __May 22, 2012_____ VOLUME: ___5___
PLACE OF HEARING: WASHINGTON, D.C. __PAGES: __520-675__

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

	- 1	
In the Matter of:	:	
	:	11-71
MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS/LAND,	:	File No.
MOBILE, LLC	:	EB-09-IH-1751
Participant in Auction No. 61	:	EB-07-111 1/51
and Licensee of Various	:	FRN:
Authorizations in the Wireless		
Radio Services	:	
	:	Application
Applicant for Modification of	:	File Nos.
Various Authorizations in the	:	0004030479
Wireless Radio Services	:	0004144435
	:	0004193028
Applicant with ENCANA OIL AND	:	0004193328
GAS (USA), INC.; DUQUESNE	:	0004354053
LIGHT COMPANY, DCP MIDSTREAM;	:	0004309872
JACKSON COUNTY RURAL MEMBERSHIP		
ELECTRONIC COOPERATIVE; PUGET	:	0004314903 0004315013
SOUND ENERGY, INC.; ENBRIDGE ENERGY COMPANY, INC.;	:	0004315013
INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT	•	0004430303
COMPANY; WISCONSIN POWER AND		0004417133
LIGHT COMPANY; DIXIE ELECTRIC	:	0004422320
MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION, INC.;		0004422329
ATLAS PIPELINE-MID CONTINENT,		0004507921
LLC; DENTON COUNTY ELECTRIC	:	0004153701
COOPERATIVE, INC., DBA COSERV	:	0004526264
ELECTRIC; AND SOUTHERN	:	0004636537
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL RAIL	:	0004604962
AUTHORITY	:	
	:	
For Commission Consent to the	:	Tuesday
Assignment of Various	:	May 22, 2012
Authorizations in the Wireless	:	
Radio Service	:	
	- <u>-</u> 1	
	_	

NEAL R. GROSS

VOLUME V

Room A, TW A-363 FCC Headquarters 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for prehearing conference, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE RICHARD L. SIPPEL Chief Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Maritime Communications:

ROBERT J. KELLER, Esquire of: Robert J. Keller, P.C. P.O. Box 33428
Washington, D.C. 20033
(202) 223-2100
(202) 223-2121 fax

and

JOHN REARDON, Esquire
of: MCCM, LLC
218 North Lee Street
#318
Alexandria, VA 22314
(601) 427-0048
(601) 427-0050 fax

On Behalf of Warren Havens:

WARREN HAVENS, pro se (via telephone) Berkeley, CA 94705

On Behalf of Pinnacle Wireless:

MATTHEW J. PLACHE, Esquire of: Catalano & Plache, PLLC 3221 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 338-3200 (202) 338-1700 fax

APPEARANCES (Continued):

On Behalf of the Southern California Regional Rail Authority:

HARRY F. COLE, Esquire

of: Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC

11th Floor

1300 North 17th Street

Arlington, VA 22209

(703) 812-0483

(703) 812-0486 fax

On Behalf of Environmental, LLC; Intelligent Transportation and Monitoring Wireless, LLC; and Verde Systems, LLC:

ROBERT H. JACKSON, Esquire of: Marashlian & Donahue, LLC 1420 Hill Spring Road Suite 401 McLean, VA 22102 (703) 714-1316 (703) 714-1330 fax

On Behalf of the Federal Communications Commission:

PAMELA S. KANE, Deputy Chief; and BRIAN J. CARTER, Esquire

of: Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau Investigations & Hearings Division 445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-2392
(202) 418-2080 fax

ALSO PRESENT:

MARY GOSSE DENISE VILLARREAL

NEAL R. GROSS

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:07 a.m.)
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is a
4	prehearing conference in the matter of
5	Maritime, EB docket number 11-71. The time is
6	10:00 a.m. or thereabout. Mr. Havens is on
7	the speakerphone with my authorization.
8	I'm going to start with counsel.
9	Please identify themselves this morning.
10	Again, Ms. Kane?
11	MS. KANE: Pamela Kane for the
12	Enforcement Bureau. And with me is co-counsel
13	Brian Carter.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Brian Carter.
15	Okay. Thank you.
16	And for Maritime?
17	MR. KELLER: Robert Keller for
18	Maritime. I also have with me Mr. John
19	Reardon, who is the General Manager of
20	Maritime Operations.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Spell the name,
22	please.

1	MR. KELLER: R-e-a-r-d-o-n.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: R-e-a-r-d-o-n?
3	MR. KELLER: Reardon, John.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: And he is what?
5	MR. KELLER: He is the he
6	manages the day-to-day operations for
7	Maritime. He's one of the three employees at
8	this point.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Maritime
10	Manager?
11	MR. KELLER: Yes.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Good
13	morning, Mr. Reardon.
13 14	morning, Mr. Reardon. MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your
14	MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your
14 15	MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your Honor.
14 15 16	MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: And I have a
14 15 16 17	MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: And I have a question while we're talking about identifying
14 15 16 17 18	MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: And I have a question while we're talking about identifying people. Who is Mr. Smith who shows up on
14 15 16 17 18	MR. REARDON: Good morning, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: And I have a question while we're talking about identifying people. Who is Mr. Smith who shows up on affidavits from time to time?

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: And is he still
2	with the company?
3	MR. KELLER: Yes.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: And he shows up
5	daily?
6	MR. KELLER: Yes, yes. He's
7	the company. Mr. Reardon is based here in
8	Virginia, Alexandria, but the two other
9	employees, the Sharon Watkins, who is a
10	bookkeeper, and Robert T. Smith, Tim Smith,
11	who is an engineer, are based in Clarksville,
12	Indiana.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what is Ms.
14	Watkins' first name again?
15	MR. KELLER: Sharon Watkins,
16	W-a-t-k-i-n-s.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I got that part,
18	but how do you spell the first part?
19	MR. KELLER: S-h-a-r-o-n.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, Sharon. Okay.
21	MR. KELLER: I'm sorry. My
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. That's okay.

1	MR. KELLER: My kids tell me I
2	mumble.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Not really. But
4	she is the bookkeeper?
5	MR. KELLER: Yes.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, while I'm on
7	this, I might as well get it clear. Both Mr.
8	Smith and Ms. Watkins are where, Indiana?
9	MR. KELLER: Clarksville, Indiana.
10	It's Louisville, Kentucky metropolitan area,
11	Clarksville, Indiana, C-l-a-r, Clarks.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know how to do
13	it. Clarksville, Indiana. But how did
14	okay. It's not that important.
15	MR. KELLER: That's where the
16	offices are.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Okay. Main
18	offices?
19	MR. KELLER: Yeah. That's where
20	Maritime is based.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And that's
22	where Depriest does its business, Depriest?

1	MR. KELLER: No. That's where
2	Maritime does its business. Depriest is in
3	Mississippi.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mississippi?
5	MR. KELLER: Right.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Okay. And
7	Depriest what are the I'm going to do
8	this as long as I've got the subject. The
9	Depriests, what are their it's husband and
10	wife.
11	MR. KELLER: Uh-huh.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what are their
13	officer positions with the company?
14	MR. KELLER: That's in the record,
15	sir. I don't want to say it out loud. You
16	might know better than I.
17	MR. REARDON: Sandra is the
18	president and the board member.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sandra is president
20	and board member.
21	MR. REARDON: And I believe she's
22	the maybe 98 percent owner, Your Honor, with

1	a membership interest.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Ninety-eight
3	percent owner?
4	MR. REARDON: Yes.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So she
6	doesn't get
7	MR. KELLER: No, sir.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: And who is the
9	other person? Her husband?
10	MR. KELLER: Well, her husband:
11	Donald Depriest.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And Donald
13	is? What rank does he have?
14	MR. KELLER: Well, none, really,
15	because that's part of the issue in the other
16	aspect of this case is what
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: He doesn't have
18	any?
19	MR. KELLER: Right.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's just
21	MR. KELLER: Officially no, right.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: He just drives a

1	car and
2	MR. KELLER: There's an issue.
3	There's an issue, obviously, about the whole
4	the main basic qualifying issue has to do
5	with whether or not he was a real party in
6	interest.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That's what
8	I'm asking for, is what is represented by
9	Maritime. I'm not going to get into the
10	MR. KELLER: Exactly.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: guts of these
12	questions, just for identification. Okay.
13	So, as far as Maritime is concerned, Mr.
14	Donald Depriest owns nothing, I mean, except
15	as far as these matters are concerned?
16	MR. KELLER: What has been stated
17	on the record is that he has no official
18	ownership.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: No official
20	ownership. That's good enough.
21	MR. KELLER: I mean, there has
22	been a ruling, pre-designation, in this case

1	that he has attributed spousal attribution of
2	his
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't want
4	to get into that. I just want to know
5	MR. KELLER: That's already been
6	ruled.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: The company runs on
8	a day-to-day basis. Who is doing what? And
9	he has no official ownership. And I take it
10	he does basically nothing in
11	MR. KELLER: No. I think he
12	MS. KANE: Your Honor, we're
13	concerned about this because this is an issue
14	that is placed in the hearing. And we have
15	been precluded from obtaining discovery since
16	you have stayed those issues. So for Maritime
17	to be able to offer discovery here and
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: They're not doing
19	that. No, no.
20	MS. KANE: They're offering their
21	testimony as to what the position
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's not testimony.

1	It's counsel's statements.
2	MS. KANE: Well, you have Mr.
3	Reardon here and
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's not under
5	oath. I know you made a point of this before.
6	This is not going to come back to haunt us.
7	I can assure you of that. It's not going to
8	
9	MS. KANE: Well, there are
10	statements being made on behalf of Maritime
11	that could be deemed later to be admissions by
12	Maritime. And we would
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Admission doesn't
14	help them. You can't make an admission and
15	help yourself with it.
16	MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, you
17	know, this is all something that is subject to
18	discovery in the hearing.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.
20	MS. KANE: But we haven't had the
21	opportunity to investigate that.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: I am going to move

1	right off it. I was simply trying to just get
2	Maritime's view that these people their
3	representatives feel as to who does what over
4	there. I'm finished. And I will be very
5	sensitive to your concerns.
6	MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, we have other
8	people in the courtroom. Do we have any other
9	attorneys in the courtroom? Sir?
10	MR. PLACHE: Matthew Plache with
11	Catalano and Plache, PLLC, representing
12	Pinnacle Wireless.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Pinnacle? Okay.
14	We've been getting some things from Pinnacle.
15	Okay.
16	MR. JACKSON: Robert H. Jackson
17	from Marashlian and Donahue representing
18	Environmental, LLC; Intelligent Transportation
19	and Monitoring Wireless, LLC; and Verde
20	Systems, LLC.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Anybody
22	else?

1	MR. COLE: Your Honor?
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Of course. Mr.
3	Cole?
4	MR. COLE: Wouldn't be here
5	without me, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Representing the
7	situation, I guess.
8	MR. COLE: Harry Cole. I'm
9	representing Southern California Regional Rail
10	Authority, hoping against hope that Ms. Kane
11	will advise us that the Commission has ruled
12	on our footnote 7 showing.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have they found it
14	yet?
15	(Laughter.)
16	MR. COLE: I have no information
17	on that, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I knew the last
19	time we talked about this, it was someplace
20	between here and there.
21	MR. COLE: Nobody seems to be able
22	to 'fess up to this as to who has it on their

desk, but my guess is that the Commission 1 staff is assuming that there will be a second 2 Thursday showing coming in, which may trump or 3 supersede the footnote 7 showing. I don't 4 for sure, but that's just that 5 operative theory. 6 But now we have two MR. KELLER: 7 extra commissioners that have looked for it. 8 9 So --JUDGE SIPPEL: They're going to be 10 happy to know that. 11 Did anybody ever get the idea of 12 maybe sending another copy? I mean --13 MR. COLE: Oh, We no. 14 ascertained that it is somewhere in the 15 They know that it is there, but 16 Commission. it's just that there appear to be -- put it 17 off to one side of the desk in anticipation of 18 a second Thursday showing. The bankruptcy has 19 complicated things. And I think the operative 20 notion within the Commission is that they're 21

going to wait and see what happens.

22

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
2	MR. COLE: This is just guesswork
3	based on our general inability to find out
4	much more than that.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's the old
6	principle put off for today what might happen
7	tomorrow. Okay. I guess we're clear on that.
8	But you're representing again? I
9	didn't get that down.
10	MR. COLE: Southern California
11	Regional Rail Authority, SCRRA.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right, same client.
13	Okay.
14	Have I left anybody out?
15	(No response.)
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Good. That's fine
17	with me.
18	Now, this conference was requested
19	by Maritime, correct?
20	MR. KELLER: Yes, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Keller?
22	MR. KELLER: Yes, Your Honor.

And at this point JUDGE SIPPEL: 1 what is it that you want to accomplish this 2 3 morning? Well, I really want MR. KELLER: 4 address based on everything that 5 happened before and then since we have asked 6 this, I really have three issues to address. 7 One is I want to address -- two of them are 8 9 very directly related discovery. One is some issues regarding Mr. 10 Havens, his representation of the Havens 11 entities, and some issues surrounding 12 discovery protective order, et cetera. 13 Secondly, there some 14 are outstanding issues with respect to discovery. 15 There is a difference of opinion between 16 Maritime and the Bureau on the extent to which 17 Maritime has complied with discovery and all 18 of that. And it seemed to be that every time 19 pleadings were filed, more pleadings were 20 And I figured let's just get your 21

impression and iron it out because, you know,

22

1	we believe that we have provided information.
2	We are also prepared to make
3	significant stipulations to greatly narrow the
4	scope of the issues on this issue G. And so
5	we want to discuss that.
6	And, then finally, I was just
7	going to give you an update on the report on
8	where we stand with respect to the bankruptcy.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, I like
10	what you've said about the stipulations, and
11	I like the update.
12	Can you hear me? Do you know
13	what's going on here, Mr. Havens?
14	MR. HAVENS: Yes.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Where is Mr.
16	Jackson?
17	MR. JACKSON: Right here, Your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Exactly who
20	are you representing?
21	MR. JACKSON: I am representing
22	several of Mr. Havens' companies.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: They've been
2	identified.
3	MR. JACKSON: Yes.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Now, it
5	stops there. And you have nothing to do with
6	the companies that you have identified? Are
7	they just hanging out there without attorneys?
8	MR. JACKSON: That's correct, Your
9	Honor. Our firm was hired to represent these
10	three companies.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what about Mr.
12	Havens? He's unrepresented as far as you're
13	concerned?
14	MR. JACKSON: He's not my client
15	directly for this matter. He is, of course,
16	president of these three entities that I
17	represent, but I am not representing him as an
18	individual or the companies that he is
19	representing pro se or
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you understand
21	my ruling in the last order? Do you
22	understand that he can represent himself if he

1	thinks he's capable of doing that but none of
2	the companies? The rule prohibits him
3	precludes him, I should say, from representing
4	corporate entities.
5	MR. JACKSON: I understand your
6	ruling, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. You do, Mr.
8	Havens, too, I take it?
9	MR. HAVENS: This was not the
10	content that I expected to be on the agenda
11	today. However, I would like to address that
12	unless you want me to talk about that now.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no, no. I'm
14	just asking you, do you understand what I
15	wrote in the order?
16	MR. HAVENS: I understand that for
17	the purpose of today and I'll separately
18	address certain issues there I mean, the
19	hearing rules allow judges discretion. And I
20	assume your past ruling exercised your
21	discretion, although, again, I didn't plan to
22	take everyone's time today on that.

1	
1	But in terms of currently I am
2	here today only with regard to myself.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I know.
4	That's fine, sir. Now, I understand. And I
5	didn't want it to go any further than what I
6	asked. So I'm satisfied with your answer for
7	now. Okay.
8	There are a couple of issues on
9	discovery. You're going to give a report on
10	bankruptcy status. And you say you've got
11	stipulation. Does the Bureau know about those
12	stipulations that
13	MR. KELLER: Well, the Bureau and
14	Maritime attempted to work out stipulations.
15	And we went back and forth
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.
17	MR. KELLER: on several rounds
18	and wanted to come to a mutual agreement.
19	Nevertheless, you know, I am prepared to offer
20	some unilaterally here, I believe. It may not
21	go as far as the Bureau wants, but I don't
22	know why they wouldn't be acceptable. And I

1	think they do greatly
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: But you haven't
3	discussed that with the Bureau before coming
4	in here?
5	MR. KELLER: Well, these are not
6	going to be a surprise to the Bureau because
7	they're along the same lines we discussed
8	before we came in here.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, let's
10	
11	MR. KELLER: I'm sorry to
12	interrupt you, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. Go right
14	ahead.
15	MR. KELLER: I sort of feel like
16	maybe this issue with the Havens
17	representation is something I do want to say
18	something about.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
20	MR. KELLER: And that is, now, we
21	have not filed anything formal on this yet,
22	but we have an issue with, notwithstanding the

1	discretion in saying Mr. Havens can represent
2	himself, I would refer you to a case and I
3	can send you copies after the hearing Black
4	Television Workshop of Los Angeles, 70 F-60
5	Record 6868.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: F-60 Record?
7	MR. KELLER: Sixty-eight,
8	sixty-eight.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Six, eight, six,
10	eight?
11	MR. KELLER: Right. It's a 1992
12	decision by the Commission. And in paragraph
13	6 of that order and I'm just going to read
14	you the pertinent language here it says,
15	"The rights of self-representation and
16	representation by counsel cannot both be
17	exercised at the same time. A party"
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Say it again now.
19	MR. KELLER: "The rights of
20	self-representation"
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
22	MR. KELLER: "and